The Paleoproterozoic McArthur River (HYC) Pb/Zn/Ag deposit of northern Australia: organic geochemistry and ore genesis
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Abstract

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in ore and mudstone within the McArthur River ore deposit show compound distribution patterns similar to those of hydrothermally generated petroleum in the Guaymas Basin and significantly different from those found in conventional oil. PAH abundances and their isomer distributions result from a temperature gradient between the source of mineralizing fluids and the sediments fringing the ore system during ore formation. Along with other geochemical, geological, paleobiological and mineralogical lines of evidence, these data provide strong evidence that the ore formed within partially lithified sediments under marine conditions. Given that the McArthur River ore body is an exquisitely preserved example of a sediment-hosted base-metal deposit, these results may be widely applicable. The McArthur deposit is also a rich repository of paleobiological information, allowing studies of the microbiology of ore formation and the paleobiology of an ancient hydrothermal system, as is discussed elsewhere.
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1. Introduction

The 1640 Ma McArthur River (HYC) lead–zinc–silver deposit of northern Australia is the largest known stratiform sediment-hosted base-metal deposit. It is extraordinarily well preserved, with all indicators of burial maturity indicating that the host rocks have experienced temperatures only up to the window of oil generation [1]. The
A deposit has been intersected by more than 100 drill holes, and is exposed in an underground mine. This accessibility has afforded superb opportunities for detailed studies [2–10]. Despite this, interpretations of the genesis of the ore vary widely, with three end-member models proposed: syngenetic (exhalative) in a deep marine setting [3,10]; ‘early diagenetic’ within the mud and silt of an ephemeral lake/sahbka environment [4,5]; and sub-sediment–water interface (‘early diagenetic’) in a marine environment below wave base [8,9]. We show here that organic geochemistry can help resolve differences between these models of ore genesis.

As part of a continuing study of the deposit [1], we have conducted analyses of hydrocarbons from the ore and from associated sediments sampled on a centimeter to millimeter scale (for example, see Fig. 1). We have also analyzed the δ13C composition of selected hydrocarbons in both mineralized and unmineralized horizons to help determine their biological origins. Microfossils have been identified in the ore deposit [11,12], and we have supplemented the published work with observations from splits of the samples used in our organic geochemical analyses. Some initial results of our biomarker and microfossil work are published elsewhere [1]. Here we present analyses of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) particularly relevant to determining the thermal history of the ore and its host sediments. Our results impact significantly on the capacity to distinguish between alternative ore genesis models.

2. Geological setting

The McArthur River deposit formed within the McArthur Basin, an intracratonic basin resting on a basement of Paleoproterozoic granites and metamorphic rocks. Mineralized zones occur in the HYC Pyritic Shale Member of the Barney Creek Formation within the largely platformal McArthur Group, which was deposited within a tectonically induced sub-basin with its eastern boundary located along the syn-depositional Emu Fault Zone (Fig. 2). The host rocks to the ore horizons are dark, carbonaceous and pyritic dolomitic graded siltstones and mudstones, with abundant graded breccias of pre-Barney Creek dolomite [2,13]. The siltstones have parallel laminae 0.5–50 mm thick, locally with small-scale cross-lamination, scour and fill, graded textures and slumping [2,10,13,14]. The HYC Pyritic Shale Member is thickest west of the Emu Fault and the Western Fault Block and thins over, and lies unconformably on, domes or fault blocks across the region [13]. Evidence for syn-depositional faulting and tilting comes from the graded sedimentary breccias that coarsen and thicken northwards [8]. There are dolostone clasts of recognizable origin within the breccias, derived from the upthrown fault blocks within the Emu Fault Zone and evidencing the progressive exhumation of the entire McArthur Group during Barney Creek time [13]. The coarse detrital rocks range from graded dolarenite beds a few millimeters thick, to massive breccias with clasts up to several meters wide. Most are thought to have been deposited from flows moving in a predominantly southerly to southwesterly direction [8,9,13].

Mineralization consists mostly of pyrite, sphalerite and galena, in varying proportions, finely disseminated throughout the siltstone. Ore-rich laminae are commonly enclosed between layers of poorly mineralized to unmineralized mudstone and siltstone. Sulfide concentrations within ore laminae are commonly greater than 60% by weight [2,9]. Unmineralized layers are present throughout the succession and vary in thickness from millimeter-scale mudstone layers, centimeter-scale turbidites to meter-scale breccia beds. Isolation of the centimeter-scale turbidite beds is possible using a rock saw and this approach was used to examine organic geochemical differences at a centimeter scale between mineralized and unmineralized sediments within the ore deposit. Isotopic analysis and detailed study of the mineral textures have shown that the ore body contains several phases of sulfide [15]. Those authors suggested that an early diagenetic pyrite phase (Py 1) was generally followed by a later and more massive pyrite phase (Py 2) and that this, in turn, was further over-printed by sphalerite and galena. Py 1 has δ34S values consistent with formation by
bacterial sulfate reduction (BSR) in a system open to sulfate, and probably formed at, or close to, the sediment–water interface. Py 2 is enriched in $^{34}$S relative to coexisting Py 1 and this has been explained as resulting from later closed-system reduction within the sediment pile [15] or by the incorporation of excess heavy sulfate, within the BSR pyrite zone immediately beneath the sediment–water interface, in the outflow zone of the sub-surface mineralizing system [9]. Based on SHRIMP measurements, $\delta^{34}$S values for galena and sphalerite range between $-5\%_o$ and $+5\%_o$. This mineralization is thought to have involved reaction of sulfate in the hydrothermal ore solution with organic matter in the Barney Creek Formation [4,9]. The ore-forming fluid has been considered to have been a moderately hot (150–250°C), oxidized (sulfate > sulfide) brine, rich in sulfate and metals, at the point where it entered the basin [9,10]. The low metal-carrying capacities of brines in that temperature range require there to have been a large volume of oxidized fluid sourced from an evaporite deposit. However, our new data suggest another possibility that we present below.

3. Materials and methods

Nine rock samples were selected for analysis from those collected from the McArthur River Mine. Some samples are of a single lithology (e.g. 2H9/1B 1/2-mudstone). Most samples are comprised of several different lithologies, and those were separated before the detailed study of aromatic hydrocarbon distributions. For example, sample 2E4/G 1 was separated into three sub-samples: chert nodules, carbonate-rich mudstone around chert nodules and ore, and sample 2H9/1C was separated into two ore sub-samples (ore#1 and #2) and two mudstone sub-samples (mud#1 and #2). Fig. 1 illustrates some samples in their original state, before separation of different lithologies.

3.1. Sample processing

Samples were cut with a diamond saw along lithological boundaries. Adhering portions of other lithologies were removed by grinding. The saw coolant was tap water. The analysis of sample blanks demonstrated that there were no significant contaminants.

Chert had to be separated by a different technique because it occurs as small nodules (2 mm to 1 cm) in a carbonate-rich mudstone. These samples were cut into small pieces with and without chert nodules and the pieces with chert nodules were crushed into particles of about 2 mm in size using a ball mill. The crushed samples were then washed with distilled water to remove fine powder. The particulate chert material was then treated with 10 M HCl solution to remove any carbonate and then washed with distilled water to pH = 7, then dried at room temperature. Chert particles were selected under a microscope before analysis. The pure chert particles (and all the other samples) were washed with dichloromethane (DCM) three times to remove possible organic contamination obtained during sample processing. After the DCM evaporated, the samples were crushed to powder with the ball mill.

3.2. Extraction and separation

Before extraction all samples were washed as described above. Isolation of extractable organic matter (EOM) derived from core and from rock samples from faces within the mine was conducted in a Soxhlet apparatus with DCM for 72 h. Elemental sulfur was removed using activated copper chips in the extraction vessel. The solvent was then concentrated and half of the EOM was used for gas chromatography (GC) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) analysis. The second half of the total extract was further separated into two equal parts: the first quarter was concentrated into 50–100 µl and an internal standard (d14-terphenyl) was added, before GC and GC–MS quantification analysis. The second quarter of the total extract was then separated into saturates, aromatics and polar fractions using silica gel column chromatography with petroleum ether, mixtures of DCM and petroleum ether (50:50) and DCM and methanol (50:50) as eluting solvents respec-
tively. The aromatic fractions were then used for GC and GC–MS analysis.

3.3. GC analysis

GC was carried out on a Hewlett Packard HP6890 series gas chromatograph with HP Chemstation software. Injections were made using splitless injection and a 25 m × 0.25 mm i.d. capillary column, with DB-1 stationary phase and He carrier gas, programmed at 60°C for 2 min, then ramped at 4°C/min to 310°C and then held isothermally for 20 min.

3.4. GC–MS analysis

GC–MS was carried out on a HP mass-selective detector attached to an HP 6890 series GC using a 50 m × 0.25 mm i.d. fused silica tubular column coated with a 0.25 μm DB-5 stationary phase (J&W Scientific) and He carrier gas in a splitless injection mode. The GC was held isothermal at 40°C for 2 min, followed by a temperature increase at 4°C/min, and an isothermal period of 20 min at the upper temperature of 320°C. During full-scan acquisition the mass spectrometer was operated in the electron ionization mode scanning from m/z 50–600, with a scan time of 1.0 s and electron energy of 70 eV.

Perdeuterized terphenyl (d14, molecular weight = 244) was used as the internal standard for quantification of individual compounds. The absolute concentration of each was normalized to the total organic carbon (ng/g TOC or ppb).

3.5. TOC analysis

Analyses of TOC were done with a Rock Eval 6.

---

Fig. 1. Photograph of two samples used in the analysis of McArthur River ore. The chert nodules were isolated from carbonate matrix during analysis.
4. Results and discussion

4.1. Aromatic hydrocarbons

As some of the models for the formation of the McArthur deposit involve warm to hot mineralizing fluids interacting with organic matter we compared bitumen components of the deposit with modern analogs where there are fluids with elevated temperatures. In modern marine hydrothermal systems, such as the Guaymas Basin (Gulf of California) and Middle Valley (Juan de Fuca Ridge), hydrothermal bitumens have been found to have distinctive geochemical signatures. In particular, the bitumens contain characteristic patterns of PAHs with low levels of alkylation and increased abundances of large, multi-ring members such as benzopyrene, benzoperylene and coronene [16,17]. The rapid heating rates developed during the interaction of hot brines and organic matter are thought to favor the formation of non-alkylated and highly condensed PAHs. Elevated abundances of PAHs have been observed not only in modern hydrothermal petroleum systems such as those of Guaymas and Middle Valley, but also in ancient mineral systems such as Red Dog Zn–Pb mine, Northwest Alaska [18] and Kupferschiefer Shale (Cu–Zn–Pb) in Europe [19,20].

We sampled within a single ore body exposed within the mine to obtain large, pristine samples for geochemical analysis (Fig. 1). Three separate sites were selected (Fig. 2) and several lithologies at each site were examined. The sample sites reflect different temperature regimes during ore formation within the ore body as indicated by lead to zinc ratios. The northern sample sites 2E4/G 1 and 2E3/9 3 are thought to be closest to the source of hot mineralizing brine. The southern...
Fig. 3. Histograms of abundances and ratios of key aromatic compounds used in this study. Diagrams A and B display the abundance of benzo(ghi)perylene in sample 2E4/G 1 from the ‘hotter’ area and sample 2H9/1C from the ‘cooler’ area. Diagrams C and D display the ratio of benzo(ghi)perylene to other smaller PAH compounds in 2E4/G 1 and 2H9/1C. The abundances and ratios are higher in ores than other lithologies. Note the difference in scale between diagrams A and B, and C and D. The abundances of PAHs within ores and muds from different areas were compared, showing that samples from the ‘hotter’ area are richer in PAHs (diagrams E and F).
Table 1
Quantiﬁed aromatic compounds and ratios for samples reported in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Original ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>TOC (%)</th>
<th>Compounds ppb over TOC</th>
<th>Ratios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19990673 2E4/G 1</td>
<td>cherts carbonaterich part</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Phenanthrene (6.343.02)</td>
<td>MPI 1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19990675 2E3/9</td>
<td>ore crust mud ore ore#1 mud#1 ore#2 mud#2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>7071.52 10889.04</td>
<td>Benzo(e)pyrene/Pyrene 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20000123 2H9/1C</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>9475.59 7735.10</td>
<td>Benzo(e)pyrene/Chrysene 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4204.14 7635.29</td>
<td>Benzo(ghi)perylene/Phenanthrene 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>4027.45 7635.29</td>
<td>Benzo(ghi)perylene/Pyrene 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>7635.29 7635.29</td>
<td>Benzo(ghi)perylene/Fluorene 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2E4/G 1</td>
<td>EPSL 606 5-43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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sample site 2H9/1C, although highly mineralized, is thought to represent a cooler zone and is further from the source of brine at 2 ore-body level (Fig. 2). We examined the aromatic hydrocarbons in each of the samples for evidence of preserved hydrothermal signatures. We also examined hydrocarbon biomarker distributions in the same samples for paleoenvironmental signals.

The ores at sites 2E4G/G1 and 2E3/93 (both in ‘hotter’ zones) are rich in PAHs relative to other lithologies centimeters to decimeters distant at these sites. In particular, pyrene, benzo(e)pyrene, benzo(ghi)perylene and chrysene are two to three times more abundant in ore relative to enclosing lithologies (Table 1). For example, benzo(ghi)perylene (a compound with six benzene rings) has concentrations of 1790 ppb in ore and 353 ppb in associated carbonate-rich rocks, and is absent in associated chert (Fig. 3A). These large, highly condensed PAHs are enriched in petroleums of hydrothermal origin [16,17]. Furthermore, values for the ratios of high molecular weight to lower molecular weight parent (unsubstituted) PAHs are much greater within the ore horizons compared with contiguous sedimentary rocks (Fig. 3B and Table 1).

Ores at site 2H9/1C (‘cooler’ zone) also show similar trends to those from the ‘hotter’ zones of mineralization when compared to their enclosing rocks (Fig. 3C,D). However, concentrations of unsubstituted PAHs are significantly lower (Fig. 3E and Table 1). Muddy lithologies consisting of unmineralized dolomitic graded beds of centimeter thicknesses associated with the ores at sites 2E3/93 and 2H9/1C also exhibit similar trends to the ore horizons, with decreasing abundances of unsubstituted PAHs in the cooler zones (Fig. 3F and Table 1). These observations are consistent with the precipitation of PAHs in the ore horizons at each site and the existence of a large thermal gradient between sites. This would suggest that the PAHs were generated upstream and moved laterally with the mineralizing brine. As the brine cooled and the solubility of the PAHs dropped, they were precipitated out of solution in order of their molecular weight (as is further discussed later in this section). The preserved distribution reflects this migration and cooling trend, and also indicates that ore-forming fluids were predominantly confined between muddy beds. The confining beds contain significantly lower concentrations of hydrothermally generated PAHs, although the presence of low quantities within the enclosing sediments does suggest a degree of fluid mobility between ore and mud horizons.

The methyl phenanthrene index (MPI) is a molecular maturity parameter commonly used in petroleum exploration to assess the relative maturities of sediments and oils. The values of MPI for McArthur River samples range from 1.68% to 1.80% for ores and from 1.48% to 1.73% for surrounding rock types (Table 1), indicating that those samples are all thermally mature and suggesting that the ores have experienced higher temperatures relative to associated sediments at each site. In modern hydrothermal systems, PAH compositions are marked by low levels of alkylation. This is not observed in lower molecular weight PAHs from the ore body. We believe that this is due to the overprinting of the hydrothermally generated signal with the in situ production of aromatic hydrocarbons from residual organic matter during normal burial maturation. This mixture of hydrocarbons leads to the very unusual circumstance of different methylphenanthrene distributions in closely spaced samples. This is particularly apparent in the hotter 2E4G1 and 2E3/93 samples (Table 1).

Comparison of interbedded mudstone and ore at site 2H9/1C shows that the ore is consistently enriched in sulfur-containing organic compounds. This is also the case at site 2E4/G1, where the ore is also enriched compared to other lithologies (Table 1). At site 2E3/93 all samples are enriched in sulfur-containing compounds with both the ore and mudstone horizons exhibiting the highest concentrations of these compounds among all samples analyzed. Thus, the highest overall abundances of these compounds, and hence the degree of sulfurization of organic matter, correlate not only with the presence of ore but also the presumed temperature profile across the deposit.

The suite of aromatic hydrocarbons found most abundantly in the ore, but also in its host rocks, is closely comparable with that found in the hydrothermally generated petroleums of the Guaymas
Basin and Middle Valley studied by Simoneit [17]. The compound distributions are considered to be diagnostic for systems in which organic matter is rapidly heated during the passage of hot water through sediments, as opposed to distributions formed during low-temperature diagenesis or normal burial maturation. Moreover, they do not occur at other locations in the McArthur Basin, away from the ore bodies [21]. In the Guaymas Basin, vented fluids containing hydrothermally generated PAHs have temperatures greater than 300°C. Furthermore, sealed hydrothermal experiments indicate that these compounds form between 250 and 400°C (Simoneit, personal communication, 2001). This suggests that the ore-forming brine may have been significantly hotter than the previous estimates of between 150 and 250°C. Temperatures of > 250°C would greatly increase
the metal-carrying capacity of the brine and would allow sulfide to be present in the ore fluids. This has major implications for the ore genesis and exploration models, as the brine would have to have been generated in deeper areas of the basin and evaporites would not be required as a source of sulfate. Catalytic effects by metals may reduce these temperature estimates somewhat; however, a temperature of 250–300°C would be sufficient to generate all the characteristics we have outlined.

4.2. Ore genesis

Recent sedimentological studies indicate that the HYC Pyritic Shale was deposited in an intra-cratic marine environment below wave base [10,22]. Our saturated hydrocarbon biomarker results also indicate a marine environment [1]. Earlier lacustrine models for the ore depositional environment are not consistent with the biomarker evidence.

One of the remaining issues to be resolved is whether the ore sulfides formed within the sediment pile [9,15] or in the overlying water body [10]. Our results indicate similarities with the hydrothermal petroleum of the Guaymas Basin. There, hot waters permeated organic-rich sediments and generated oil with a distinctive chemistry. The very strong contrasts between absolute aromatic hydrocarbon abundances and distribution patterns between the north and south ends

![Diagram of ore formation process at McArthur River](image)
of number 2 ore body (Fig. 3) are consistent with previous interpretations from base-metal ratios [13] that at 2 ore-body level the mineralizing fluid came from the north and cooled as it flowed south. It could perhaps be argued that lead and zinc sulfide formation occurred in a dense, bottom-hugging brine, and organic matter entrained in that brine and at the sediment–water interface was thermally altered in the water body. Among other things, this would require heat to be retained in the flowing brine along a distance of more than 1 km, beneath a cool, deep marine water body. It also predicts that the brine would have leached carbonate on the sea floor forming surfaces morphologically analogous to subaerial examples with micro-karst and scalloping [23]; no such surfaces are known.

In modern systems, such as the Guaymas Basin, the hydrothermally generated petroleum condenses in conduits and vugs as the temperature decreases. PAHs accumulate near the hotter vent chimneys, waxes at intermediate temperatures (20–80°C), with heavy oil in cool areas [24]. Platting of PAHs has also been noted in other mineral systems where hydrocarbons that precipitated from cooling solutions produced PAH minerals, such as curtisite, idirialite and pendletonite [25]. Although the PAHs at McArthur River appear to be distributed along a cooling trend, we do not observe other features associated with exhalation and rapid cooling, for example veins, tar mats and hydrocarbon-filled vugs. This suggests that precipitation of the hydrothermal PAHs occurred beneath the sediment–water interface, where cooling was slowed by the insulating properties of the sediments.

Distinctive heating regimes are associated with sub-basins containing Pb/Zn mineralization in the McArthur Basin. Late to overmature kerogen is found near major fault zones with maturity decreasing away from the faults [26]. The ore horizons within the McArthur River deposit itself have elevated $T_{\text{max}}$ (> 520°C) and values of ‘random’ Ro% up to 2.4% [1]. Sediments examined from above the ore zone exhibit maturities consistent with burial alone. Significantly, Ro analyses of unmineralized, texturally unmodified mudstone within ore also exhibit burial maturities expected for the sub-basin [9]. The existence of unmineralized zones within the ore horizons which do not exhibit elevated Ro% values, and which lie on the thermal burial trend, is considered to indicate that these zones were already impermeable to the ore-forming fluid at the time of mineralization [9]. This suggests that channeling of hot fluids along permeable horizons led to the interaction with organic matter within the silty turbidites. Acid-generating, ore-forming reactions would have generated additional porosity through carbonate dissolution [9]. Examination of ultrathin sections from siltstone units indicates loss of dolomite and the formation of micro-stylolites in the ore zones and re-deposition downstream of iron- and manganese-enriched carbonates (Fig. 4). As carbonates have retrograde solubilities, they are deposited either due to the loss of CO2 or due to changes of pH. Because solubility products of Fe–Mn carbonates are lower than those of Ca–Mg-rich carbonates, the Fe–Mn carbonates precipitate earlier and closer to the site of dissolution.

An ore genesis model consistent with all available data is shown in Fig. 5 [1,8,9]. This is a refinement of the model of Williams [4,5]. The depth of ore formation is estimated to be ~10–20 m below the sea floor, based on the preserved thickness of the uppermost unmodified outflow ‘nodular carbonate’ zone above the stratigraphically highest 8 ore body at McArthur River, which varies between 7 and 12 m in the region of intense drilling [9]. This is interpreted as preserving the original sedimentary relationships at the time of ore formation. Brine flow is envisaged to have been largely parallel to bedding, concentrated in the silty layers because of rapid loss of permeability in the muddy units during shallow burial. In zones of vertical leakage, the residual brine flowing out of the base-metal zone mixed with the overlying pore and interconnected basinal waters resulting in development of the second generation biogenic pyrite Py 2, plus secondary nodular carbonates, carbonate crusts and silica gels at the sediment–water interface. The turbidites within the ore sequence have not been reworked by wave action, indicating that deposition occurred below storm wave base. Our results do
not rule out the possibility that locally there could have been sufficient vertical leakage of the mineralizing fluids for some exhalative deposits to form. They do suggest, however, that this is not the predominant mechanism by which number 2 ore body formed. All eight ore bodies are very similar, and no spring-like mounds are known from the mine or in drill core (Damien Nihill, McArthur River Mines, personal communication).

5. Conclusion

The McArthur River deposit contains a suite of hydrocarbons significantly different from those in petroleum generated by burial maturation, but closely comparable to those of modern hydrothermal systems. The composition and pattern of distribution of the hydrocarbons strongly suggest a new model of ore formation with fluids much hotter (250–400°C) than previously predicted, at least where the PAHs were generated. At the suggested temperatures the fluids need not have been oxidized, the sulfide could have traveled with the metals, and relatively small volumes of fluid would have been required. The dissolution of carbonate to generate porosity and permeability would have been faster and more effective. Moreover, when the rising fluid encountered the carbonate-rich silts at shallow depths, rapid dissolution and the accompanying loss of pressure would cause inhalation and channeling of the fluids laterally along the silty beds. Such a model may be widely applicable to shale-hosted base-metal deposits.
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